European Journal of Sustainable Development (2025), **14**, 4, 903-928 ISSN: 2239-5938
Doi: 10.14207/ejsd.2025.v14n4p903

Organizational Learning Capabilities for Sustainable Performance: Evidence from Saudi Arabia's Public Sector

By Majed Salem Alsuhaimi[1]

ABSTRACT:
This study examines how knowledge management practices contribute to sustainable development outcomes in Saudi Arabia's public sector organizations. Using quantitative methodology, data was collected from 384 public sector employees across multiple organizations through stratified random sampling. Results demonstrate that knowledge management processes significantly influence sustainable performance, with the full model explaining 34.1% of variance in sustainability outcomes. Cultural dimensions significantly moderate knowledge management effectiveness, with some cultural factors enhancing and others constraining the relationship between knowledge processes and sustainable performance. Technology infrastructure positively influences SECI implementation. Mediation analysis reveals that SECI processes partially mediate the technology-performance relationship. Findings provide robust empirical evidence that systematic knowledge management approaches can enhance public sector sustainability when properly adapted to local contexts. The study offers practical insights for implementing sustainability initiatives in emerging economies while highlighting the importance of cultural adaptation in knowledge management systems.

Keywords: knowledge management, sustainable development, SECI model, organizational learning, cultural dimensions, public sector sustainability

1. Introduction

Sustainable development requires effective knowledge management systems that enable organizations to learn, adapt, and continuously improve their performance while balancing economic, social, and environmental objectives (Chen et al., 2022). Public sector organizations play crucial roles in sustainable development implementation, particularly in developing countries pursuing comprehensive transformation agendas that integrate sustainability principles into institutional practices (Rahman & Al-Borie, 2021). The challenges of sustainable development implementation are particularly acute in resource-dependent economies undergoing diversification efforts, where institutional capacity building becomes critical for successful transformation. These challenges include coordinating across established organizational structures, integrating diverse knowledge sources, and adapting governance frameworks to support collaborative sustainability planning. Resource-dependent economies often require institutional evolution from traditional sector-focused approaches toward more integrated, cross-sectoral knowledge management systems that can effectively support comprehensive sustainability initiatives. This transformation necessitates systematic capacity building and organizational development to enable effective knowledge sharing across institutional boundaries.

[1]King Fahad Security College. Saudi Arabia.

Saudi Arabia's public sector is implementing comprehensive national development initiatives encompassing economic diversification, social development, and environmental sustainability objectives as outlined in Vision 2030 (Saudi Vision 2030, 2016). These initiatives across multiple government agencies provide an important context for examining how knowledge management practices contribute to sustainable development outcomes in public sector organizations.

Knowledge management has emerged as a critical enabler of sustainable development because it facilitates organizational learning, innovation, and adaptive capacity building essential for addressing complex sustainability challenges (Hu et al., 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the importance of robust knowledge management systems in enabling organizational resilience and adaptive capacity during crisis situations, demonstrating how organizations with strong knowledge management capabilities maintained operational effectiveness while adapting to unprecedented challenges (Apte et al., 2022; Zieba & Bongiovanni, 2022).

Despite growing recognition of knowledge management's importance for sustainable development, significant gaps remain in understanding how these practices contribute to sustainable organizational performance in developing country contexts. Previous research has primarily focused on private sector applications in developed economies (Ferraris et al., 2019; Chopra et al., 2021), leaving public sector knowledge management for sustainability underexplored, particularly in Middle Eastern contexts where cultural factors may significantly influence effectiveness (Bataeineh & Aga, 2023).

A systematic review by Zhang et al. (2022) of knowledge management and sustainability literature revealed that 78% of empirical studies were conducted in developed Western economies, with only 12% focusing on Middle Eastern contexts. Similarly, Iqbal et al. (2021) found that knowledge management research in developing countries has predominantly examined private sector applications, with limited attention to public sector contexts where institutional complexity and stakeholder accountability create unique challenges for knowledge management implementation.

Furthermore, existing cross-cultural research on knowledge management effectiveness has largely overlooked the intersection of cultural factors with sustainability implementation (Rode et al., 2022). While Hofstede's cultural dimensions have been extensively studied in general management contexts, their specific influence on knowledge management for sustainability purposes remains underexplored, particularly in collectivistic cultures with high power distance orientations characteristic of many Middle Eastern societies (Guha et al., 2025).

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of robust knowledge management systems for organizational resilience and adaptation, with research showing that organizations with strong knowledge management capabilities maintained better operational effectiveness during crisis conditions (Apte et al., 2022; Laitinen & Tuomisto, 2022; Zieba & Bongiovanni, 2022). However, the specific mechanisms through which knowledge management systems directly buffer against external shocks and enable sustainability implementation under crisis conditions require deeper theoretical and empirical examination. Research suggests that robust knowledge management capabilities enhance organizational resilience through three primary mechanisms: (1) distributed decision-making capacity that enables rapid response to changing conditions (Lengnick-

Hall et al., 2011), (2) institutional memory systems that preserve critical sustainability knowledge during leadership transitions and organizational disruptions (Burnard & Bhamra, 2011), and (3) adaptive learning processes that enable real-time strategy adjustment based on emerging evidence (Vogus & Sutcliffe, 2007; Zieba & Bongiovanni, 2022). Connecting these crisis response capabilities to long-term resilience frameworks reveals how knowledge management serves as foundational infrastructure for sustained sustainability progress, enabling organizations to maintain momentum toward sustainability objectives while adapting to external disruptions.

This study addresses these critical gaps by examining how knowledge management processes contribute to sustainable organizational performance in Saudi Arabia's public sector, with particular attention to the moderating roles of cultural factors and enabling effects of technology infrastructure. Specifically, the research investigates whether Nonaka and Takeuchi's (1995) SECI model (Socialization, Externalization, Combination, Internalization) applies to sustainability contexts, how cultural dimensions influence knowledge management effectiveness, and what role technology infrastructure plays in enabling knowledge processes for sustainability implementation.

The study contributes to sustainable development and knowledge management literature by providing empirical validation of organizational learning theory in sustainability contexts, demonstrating cultural contingencies for knowledge management effectiveness, and offering practical guidance for large-scale public sector transformation initiatives. The research addresses the question of how knowledge management processes influence sustainable organizational performance in public sector contexts, examines the extent to which cultural factors moderate these relationships, and investigates how technology infrastructure enables effective knowledge management for sustainability purposes. By focusing on Vision 2030 implementation, the study provides insights into knowledge management's role in one of the world's most comprehensive national transformation programs, offering evidence-based recommendations for similar sustainability initiatives in developing countries.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Knowledge Management and Sustainable Development

The relationship between knowledge management and sustainable development has gained increasing recognition as organizations seek to address complex sustainability challenges requiring continuous learning and adaptation (Iqbal et al., 2021). Knowledge management enables sustainable development by facilitating innovation, improving decision-making, and building organizational capabilities necessary for long-term sustainability performance (Ferraris et al., 2019). This relationship is particularly important in public sector contexts where organizations must balance multiple stakeholder expectations while pursuing sustainability objectives that may conflict with short-term political or economic pressures.

However, empirical research on this relationship remains concentrated in specific contexts and sectors. A comprehensive bibliometric analysis by Chopra et al. (2021) of 1,247 knowledge management and sustainability studies published between 2000-2020 revealed significant geographic and sectoral biases. The analysis showed that 72% of studies were conducted in developed economies (primarily North America and Western

Europe), with only 18% focusing on developing countries and merely 8% examining Middle Eastern contexts. Furthermore, 68% of empirical studies focused on private sector organizations, while public sector contexts received limited attention despite their critical role in sustainability policy implementation and societal transformation.

These geographic and sectoral biases create significant gaps in understanding how knowledge management effectiveness varies across different cultural, institutional, and economic contexts. Research by Rode et al. (2022) demonstrated that knowledge management practices that prove effective in individualistic, low power distance cultures may not translate directly to collectivistic, high power distance contexts without substantial adaptation. Similarly, Bataeineh and Aga (2023) found that Middle Eastern organizations face unique challenges in implementing Western-developed knowledge management frameworks due to cultural factors that influence authority relationships, information sharing patterns, and collaborative behaviors.

Sustainable development requires organizations to balance economic, social, and environmental objectives while maintaining long-term viability (Elkington, 1997). This triple bottom line approach demands sophisticated knowledge management capabilities that enable organizations to integrate diverse knowledge sources, learn from experience, and adapt strategies based on changing circumstances and stakeholder expectations (Liu et al., 2022). The complexity of sustainability challenges necessitates approaches that can capture, share, and apply knowledge across organizational boundaries and temporal horizons, making knowledge management a critical enabler of sustainability success.

Recent empirical research has demonstrated that effective knowledge management contributes to sustainable organizational performance by enhancing innovation capabilities, improving resource efficiency, and building stakeholder engagement capacity (Zhang et al., 2022). Organizations with strong knowledge management practices are better positioned to identify sustainability opportunities, implement effective solutions, and learn from both successes and failures in sustainability initiatives (Chopra et al., 2021). This learning capacity becomes particularly important in developing country contexts where sustainability initiatives may face resource constraints and institutional challenges that require adaptive implementation approaches.

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the critical role of knowledge management in organizational resilience and adaptation. Research has shown that organizations with robust knowledge management systems were better able to navigate pandemic-induced disruptions and maintain operational continuity while adapting to new constraints and requirements (Alsaqqa, 2022; Laitinen & Tuomisto, 2022). These findings suggest that knowledge management capabilities may serve as foundational infrastructure for sustainability implementation under uncertain conditions, providing adaptive capacity that enables organizations to respond effectively to emerging challenges while maintaining progress toward sustainability objectives. However, most pandemic-related research has focused on crisis management rather than long-term sustainability implementation, leaving gaps in understanding how knowledge management capabilities enable sustained progress toward sustainability objectives under adverse conditions.

2.2 Organizational Learning for Sustainability

Organizational learning theory provides important insights into how organizations can develop sustainable capabilities through systematic knowledge creation and application processes (Senge et al., 2008). The concept of the "learning organization" emphasizes continuous improvement, systems thinking, and adaptive capacity building that are essential for sustainability success (Xia, 2022). This perspective is particularly relevant for public sector organizations implementing sustainability initiatives where learning from experience becomes critical for policy adaptation and improvement.

The SECI model developed by Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) offers a comprehensive framework for understanding how organizations create knowledge through four modes of conversion: socialization (tacit to tacit), externalization (tacit to explicit), combination (explicit to explicit), and internalization (explicit to tacit). This framework has been applied to sustainability contexts to understand how organizations develop and share sustainability knowledge (Lozano, 2011). The model's emphasis on both tacit and explicit knowledge conversion processes makes it particularly suitable for understanding sustainability learning, which often involves complex, context-dependent practices that are difficult to codify.

Recent research has highlighted the importance of organizational learning for sustainability implementation, particularly in public sector contexts where organizations must balance multiple stakeholder expectations while pursuing long-term sustainability objectives (Ononye, 2025). Effective organizational learning enables public organizations to develop sustainability competencies, adapt to changing requirements, and continuously improve their sustainability performance. This capability becomes particularly important in transformation contexts where organizations must rapidly develop new competencies while maintaining operational effectiveness.

The relationship between organizational learning and sustainable performance has been empirically validated across various contexts. Research demonstrates that organizations implementing systematic learning processes show superior performance in sustainability metrics compared to those with ad hoc approaches to knowledge management (Chang et al., 2023). However, most validation studies have focused on private sector organizations in developed economies, leaving public sector applications in developing countries underexplored.

2.3 Cultural Factors in Sustainable Knowledge Management

Cultural dimensions significantly influence knowledge management effectiveness and sustainability implementation success (Hofstede, 2001). Research in Middle Eastern contexts has highlighted unique cultural characteristics that influence sustainability and knowledge management practices, including emphasis on collective responsibility, relationship-based interactions, and hierarchical authority structures (Bataeineh & Aga, 2023). These cultural factors create both opportunities and challenges for knowledge management implementation in sustainability contexts.

Understanding cultural influences on knowledge management is particularly important for sustainable development implementation because sustainability initiatives often require collaborative approaches, stakeholder engagement, and long-term thinking that may conflict with traditional organizational practices (Rode et al., 2022). The collectivistic

orientation common in Arab cultures can facilitate certain types of knowledge sharing when properly channeled through appropriate organizational structures and processes (Bataeineh & Aga, 2023). However, hierarchical orientations create significant barriers to bottom-up knowledge sharing essential for sustainability innovation, requiring specific organizational interventions to overcome reluctance in cross-level knowledge exchange. Organizations can practically address these constraints through several evidence-based strategies: (1) implementing structured knowledge sharing forums that create safe spaces for junior staff to contribute sustainability insights (Wang & Noe, 2010), (2) developing reverse mentoring programs where younger employees share technological and sustainability knowledge with senior managers (Murphy, 2012), (3) creating cross-functional sustainability teams with rotating leadership that temporarily flatten hierarchical structures (Kirkman et al., 2009), and (4) establishing anonymous suggestion systems that enable upward knowledge flow (Liu & Liu, 2008; Bataeineh & Aga, 2023).

Recent cross-cultural research has demonstrated that power distance and collectivism have contrasting effects on knowledge management effectiveness. High power distance orientations can create barriers to upward knowledge sharing and innovation, while collectivistic orientations facilitate collaborative problem-solving and knowledge sharing essential for sustainability success (Guha et al., 2025). These findings suggest that successful knowledge management for sustainability requires approaches that leverage cultural strengths while addressing cultural barriers through organizational design and management interventions.

The Saudi context presents interesting cultural dynamics for knowledge management and sustainability. The country's collectivistic culture emphasizes group harmony and collective achievement, which can support sustainability initiatives that benefit the broader community.

2.3.1 Mechanisms of Cultural Influence on Knowledge Management

Cultural dimensions influence knowledge management effectiveness through behavioral and organizational mechanisms (Hofstede, 2001; Hofstede et al., 2010). Power distance affects knowledge sharing through three primary pathways: (1) information filtering, where lower-level employees withhold potentially valuable sustainability insights due to respect for hierarchical boundaries (Michailova & Husted, 2003), (2) decision concentration, where sustainability decisions are made without incorporating distributed organizational knowledge (Kirkman et al., 2009), and (3) feedback inhibition, where implementation problems are not communicated upward, preventing organizational learning from failures (Liu & Liu, 2008; Wang & Noe, 2010). Conversely, collectivistic orientations enhance knowledge sharing through: (1) group responsibility mechanisms that motivate individuals to share knowledge for collective benefit (Chow et al., 2000), (2) collaborative problem-solving norms that encourage knowledge integration across functional boundaries (Husted & Michailova, 2002), and (3) long-term orientation alignment, where collective values support objectives that benefit future generations rather than short-term individual gains (Hofstede, 2001).

2.4 Technology and Sustainable Knowledge Management

Digital technologies play increasingly important roles in enabling sustainable knowledge management by facilitating information sharing, collaboration, and decision-making processes that support sustainability objectives (Al-Ayed et al., 2024). Technology platforms can enhance sustainability knowledge management by enabling real-time monitoring, data analysis, and stakeholder engagement capabilities essential for effective sustainability implementation. The integration of technology with knowledge management becomes particularly important in large-scale transformation initiatives where coordination across multiple organizations is required.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital transformation in knowledge management, with organizations rapidly adopting digital platforms to maintain knowledge sharing and collaboration during remote work arrangements. Research has shown that organizations with robust digital knowledge management infrastructure were more resilient during the pandemic and better able to maintain operational effectiveness (Laitinen & Tuomisto, 2022). These experiences have highlighted the importance of technology infrastructure for knowledge management continuity under adverse conditions.

However, technology adoption for sustainability purposes must be carefully managed to ensure that technological capabilities align with organizational culture and sustainability objectives (Almulhim, 2024). Research has shown that successful technology implementation for sustainability requires attention to human factors, organizational processes, and cultural considerations beyond technical capabilities. The technology acceptance literature suggests that perceived usefulness and ease of use significantly influence technology adoption for knowledge management purposes.

In the Saudi context, significant investments in digital infrastructure and technology capabilities support knowledge management for sustainability. The country's digital transformation initiatives align with Vision 2030 objectives to enhance government efficiency and service delivery. However, successful technology implementation requires consideration of cultural factors and organizational readiness to ensure that technological capabilities are effectively utilized for sustainability knowledge management.

2.5 Public Sector Transformation and Knowledge Management

Public sector transformation initiatives, such as Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030, require sophisticated knowledge management capabilities to support complex organizational and institutional changes (Rahman et al., 2021). These transformation programs involve coordination across multiple agencies, integration of diverse knowledge sources, and continuous learning and adaptation based on implementation experience. The scale and complexity of such initiatives place particular demands on knowledge management systems and processes.

Recent research on Vision 2030 implementation has highlighted the importance of knowledge management in supporting transformation objectives. Studies have shown that organizations with stronger knowledge management practices demonstrate superior performance in transformation initiatives and are better able to adapt to changing requirements and stakeholder expectations (Alateeg & Alhammadi, 2024). This suggests that knowledge management capabilities may serve as critical success factors for large-scale public sector transformation.

The sustainability focus of Vision 2030 requires public sector organizations to develop new competencies in environmental management, social responsibility, and economic sustainability. This competency development depends significantly on effective knowledge management processes that enable learning from international best practices, adaptation to local contexts, and continuous improvement based on implementation experience (Al-Naimi, 2022). The ability to capture and apply lessons learned becomes particularly important in transformation contexts where organizations must rapidly develop new capabilities.

The public sector context creates unique challenges and opportunities for knowledge management. Public organizations face accountability requirements, transparency expectations, and long-term performance horizons that differ from private sector contexts. These characteristics may both facilitate and constrain knowledge management for sustainability, requiring adapted approaches that account for public sector institutional features.

3. Theoretical Framework and Hypotheses

This study integrates three complementary theoretical perspectives to understand how knowledge management contributes to sustainable performance in public sector organizations: organizational learning theory, cultural dimensions theory, and technology acceptance theory. The integration of these theories provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the complex relationships between knowledge processes, cultural factors, technological enablers, and sustainability outcomes.

3.1 Organizational Learning Theory and the SECI Model

Organizational learning theory provides the foundational framework for understanding how organizations develop capabilities through systematic knowledge creation and application processes (Senge et al., 2008). The theory posits that organizations capable of learning faster than their competitors will have sustainable competitive advantages, particularly in dynamic environments requiring continuous adaptation (Xia, 2022). In sustainability contexts, organizational learning becomes critical because sustainability challenges are complex, evolving, and require continuous adaptation of practices and strategies.

The SECI model developed by Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) operationalizes organizational learning through four knowledge conversion modes that create a spiral of knowledge creation. Each mode serves distinct functions in building organizational capabilities:

Socialization (Tacit to Tacit): Enables sharing of experiences, mental models, and technical skills through direct interaction and observation. In sustainability contexts, socialization facilitates sharing of best practices, lessons learned from implementation challenges, and development of shared understanding about sustainability priorities.

Externalization (Tacit to Explicit): Involves articulation of tacit knowledge into explicit concepts through metaphors, analogies, models, or hypotheses. For sustainability, externalization enables documentation of innovative practices, codification of sustainability procedures, and creation of transferable knowledge assets.

Combination (Explicit to Explicit): Involves systematizing concepts into knowledge systems through sorting, adding, combining, and categorizing explicit knowledge. In sustainability implementation, combination enables integration of diverse knowledge sources, synthesis of best practices from multiple contexts, and development of comprehensive sustainability frameworks.

Internalization (Explicit to Tacit): Involves embodying explicit knowledge into tacit knowledge through learning by doing, training, and experiential learning. For sustainability, internalization enables development of individual competencies, institutionalization of sustainability practices, and creation of organizational routines that support long-term sustainability performance.

The SECI model suggests that effective knowledge creation requires systematic attention to all four conversion modes, creating synergistic effects that enhance organizational capabilities beyond what any single mode could achieve. This theoretical foundation leads to our first hypothesis.

3.2 Cultural Dimensions Theory

Cultural dimensions theory (Hofstede, 2001) provides insights into how national and organizational cultures influence knowledge management effectiveness. Culture shapes fundamental assumptions about authority, relationships, and collective action that directly impact knowledge sharing behaviors and collaborative practices essential for sustainability implementation.

Power Distance refers to the extent to which less powerful members of organizations accept unequal power distribution. High power distance cultures emphasize hierarchy, formal authority, and limited questioning of superior decisions. In knowledge management contexts, high power distance creates barriers to upward knowledge sharing, limits bottom-up innovation, and constrains the collaborative knowledge creation essential for sustainability initiatives. Research demonstrates that sustainability implementation often requires challenging existing practices and proposing alternative approaches, activities that may be inhibited in high power distance cultures (Rode et al., 2022).

Collectivism versus Individualism reflects the degree to which individuals are integrated into cohesive groups. Collectivistic cultures emphasize group harmony, shared responsibility, and collective achievement. In sustainability contexts, collectivistic orientations can facilitate knowledge sharing for collective benefits, support collaborative problem-solving, and enhance commitment to long-term sustainability objectives that benefit broader communities. The alignment between collectivistic values and sustainability objectives creates cultural synergies that can enhance knowledge management effectiveness.

The interaction between these cultural dimensions and knowledge management processes creates contingencies that influence effectiveness. Cultural factors don't simply add or subtract from knowledge management effectiveness but fundamentally shape how knowledge processes operate and what outcomes they produce.

3.3 Technology Acceptance Theory

Technology Acceptance Theory (Davis, 1989) explains how user perceptions influence technology adoption and utilization effectiveness. The theory identifies perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as key determinants of technology acceptance, with both factors influencing attitudes toward technology use and subsequent utilization behaviors.

Perceived Usefulness reflects the degree to which individuals believe that using a particular technology will enhance their job performance. In sustainability knowledge management contexts, perceived usefulness encompasses technology's ability to facilitate information sharing, support collaborative decision-making, enable real-time monitoring of sustainability metrics, and enhance coordination across organizational boundaries.

Perceived Ease of Use reflects the degree to which individuals believe that using a particular technology will be free from effort. For sustainability knowledge management, ease of use encompasses intuitive interfaces, minimal training requirements, and seamless integration with existing work processes.

Technology acceptance theory suggests that successful technology implementation requires attention to both functional capabilities and user experience factors. In sustainability contexts, where knowledge management often involves complex, multi-stakeholder processes, technology acceptance becomes critical for enabling effective knowledge sharing and collaboration.

3.4 Integrated Theoretical Framework

The integration of these three theoretical perspectives creates a comprehensive framework for understanding sustainable knowledge management in public sector contexts. Organizational learning theory provides the foundation for understanding knowledge creation processes, cultural dimensions theory explains how cultural factors moderate these processes, and technology acceptance theory explains how technological infrastructure enables effective implementation.

The framework suggests that sustainable organizational performance depends on effective knowledge creation processes (SECI) that are moderated by cultural factors (power distance and collectivism) and enabled by technology infrastructure (perceived usefulness and ease of use). The relationships operate through multiple pathways:

1. Direct Effects: Knowledge management processes directly influence sustainable performance through enhanced learning, adaptation, and innovation capabilities.
2. Cultural Moderation: Cultural dimensions moderate the effectiveness of knowledge management processes, with power distance creating barriers and collectivism creating facilitators for knowledge sharing.
3. Technology Enablement: Technology infrastructure enables knowledge management processes by providing platforms and tools that facilitate knowledge creation, sharing, and application.
4. Mediation Effects: Cultural factors and technology infrastructure also influence sustainable performance through their effects on knowledge management processes, creating indirect pathways for influence.
5.

3.5 Hypothesis Development

Based on this integrated theoretical framework, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H1: Knowledge management processes (SECI) positively influence sustainable organizational performance.

The SECI model suggests that systematic attention to all four knowledge conversion modes creates synergistic effects that enhance organizational learning and adaptation capabilities. In sustainability contexts, these enhanced capabilities should translate into superior performance across environmental, social, and economic dimensions. Organizations that effectively manage knowledge creation, sharing, and application should be better positioned to identify sustainability opportunities, implement effective solutions, and learn from both successes and failures.

H2a: Power distance negatively moderates the relationship between SECI processes and sustainable performance, such that the positive relationship between SECI processes and sustainable performance is weaker when power distance is high.

H2b: Collectivism positively moderates the relationship between SECI processes and sustainable performance, such that the positive relationship between SECI processes and sustainable performance is stronger when collectivism is high.

H3: Technological infrastructure positively influences sustainable knowledge management implementation.

Technology acceptance theory suggests that technology adoption depends on perceived usefulness and ease of use. When these conditions are met, technology infrastructure should enhance knowledge management effectiveness by facilitating information sharing, enabling real-time collaboration, supporting data-driven decision-making, and providing platforms for stakeholder engagement essential for sustainability implementation.

3.6: Dynamic Capabilities Perspective

The integration of organizational learning theory, cultural dimensions theory, and technology acceptance theory can be further strengthened through dynamic capabilities perspective (Teece, 2007; Eisenhardt & Martin, 2000), which explains how organizations develop and deploy capabilities to address changing environments. Knowledge management for sustainability represents a dynamic capability that enables organizations to: (1) sense sustainability opportunities and threats through environmental scanning and stakeholder engagement (Teece et al., 1997), (2) seize sustainability opportunities through resource reconfiguration and strategic alignment (Helfat et al., 2007), and (3) transform organizational routines to embed sustainability practices into operations (Winter, 2003). Cultural factors and technology infrastructure serve as both enablers and constraints for these dynamic capabilities, creating contingencies that determine capability development effectiveness.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design and Sample

This study employed a quantitative cross-sectional design using structural equation modeling to examine knowledge management's role in sustainable performance. The research targeted public sector employees in Saudi Arabia across multiple

organizational levels and functional areas. This population was selected because Saudi Arabia's public sector is currently implementing comprehensive sustainability and modernization initiatives, providing a suitable context for examining knowledge management's role in sustainable performance.

Research Context: Saudi Arabia's public sector is implementing comprehensive national development initiatives encompassing economic diversification, social development, and environmental sustainability objectives (Saudi Vision 2030, 2016). These initiatives require fundamental changes in how public organizations operate, learn, and adapt to new sustainability requirements, making it an appropriate context for studying knowledge management's role in sustainable performance.

Target Population: The study focused on public sector employees engaged in various organizational functions including policy development, program management, performance monitoring, and stakeholder engagement. This population was chosen because contemporary public sector work increasingly involves sustainability considerations and knowledge management challenges, ensuring relevant organizational experience for the study objectives.

The sampling frame included public sector organizations across administrative, educational, healthcare, and economic development sectors in different regions of Saudi Arabia. Using stratified random sampling to ensure representativeness across organizational types and geographic regions, 489 surveys were distributed, yielding 384 completed responses (response rate = 78.5%). The high response rate reflects the importance of Vision 2030 to public sector employees and their willingness to participate in research related to implementation effectiveness.

Sample Characteristics:

- Gender: Male (64%), Female (36%)
- Age: 25-35 years (43%), 36-45 years (31%), 46-55 years (21%), >55 years (5%)
- Education: Bachelor's degree (54%), Master's degree (31%), PhD (8%), Other (7%)
- Experience: 1-5 years (28%), 6-10 years (34%), 11-15 years (23%), >15 years (15%)
- Organizational Type: Administrative (40%), Educational (30%), Healthcare (20%), Economic Development (10%)
- Geographic Region: Central (45%), Western (25%), Eastern (20%), Northern/Southern (10%)
- Position Level: Senior management (15%), Middle management (35%), Supervisory (30%), Operational (20%)

Sample Size Justification: Power analysis using G*Power 3.1 indicated that a sample size of 324 was required for detecting medium effect sizes ($f^2 = 0.15$) with power of 0.80 and alpha of 0.05 in structural equation modeling with 5 latent variables and 15 observed variables. The achieved sample size of 384 exceeded this requirement, providing adequate statistical power for hypothesis testing and complex mediation analysis.

4.2 Measurement Instruments

Knowledge Management Processes: Based on Nonaka and Takeuchi's (1995) SECI framework, adapted for sustainability contexts using the validated instrument from Farnese et al. (2019). The 24-item instrument measured socialization (6 items), externalization (6 items), combination (6 items), and internalization (6 items) processes related to sustainability knowledge. Sample items included "We share sustainability experiences through informal interactions" (socialization), "We document sustainability practices in manuals and procedures" (externalization), "We combine sustainability knowledge from multiple sources" (combination), and "We internalize sustainability practices through training and experience" (internalization).

Cultural Dimensions: Adapted from Hofstede's (2001) framework, focusing on power distance (6 items) and collectivism (6 items) as most relevant for knowledge sharing in sustainability contexts. Items were culturally adapted following procedures from Kirkman and Shapiro (2001). Sample items included "Employees should not question decisions made by their supervisors regarding sustainability" (power distance) and "Group success in sustainability is more important than individual achievement" (collectivism).

Technology Infrastructure: Based on Davis's (1989) Technology Acceptance Model, measuring perceived usefulness (8 items) and ease of use (7 items) of technology for sustainability knowledge management. The scale was adapted for sustainability contexts following recent validation studies. Sample items included "Technology systems help us manage sustainability knowledge effectively" (usefulness) and "Our technology systems are easy to use for sustainability purposes" (ease of use).

Sustainable Performance: Multidimensional scale measuring sustainable organizational performance including environmental stewardship (5 items), social responsibility (5 items), economic efficiency (5 items), and long-term viability (5 items). The scale was developed based on established sustainability performance frameworks including the Global Reporting Initiative and UN Sustainable Development Goals. Sample items included "Our organization effectively manages environmental impacts" (environmental) and "Our organization contributes to community development" (social).

All instruments used 5-point Likert scales (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree) and were translated into Arabic using back-translation procedures to ensure cultural appropriateness. The translation process involved two independent translators and resolution of discrepancies through expert panel review.

4.3 Validity and Reliability Assessment

Pilot Study: A pilot study was conducted with 50 participants to validate instruments in the Saudi context. Results showed strong reliability ($\alpha > .80$) for all constructs and led to minor modifications for cultural appropriateness, including adjustment of language to reflect local terminology and organizational contexts.

Content Validity: Expert panel review comprised sustainability researchers (n=3), senior public sector managers (n=3), and methodology experts (n=2). Content Validity Index (CVI) exceeded 0.80 for all items, indicating strong agreement on item relevance and clarity.

Construct Validity: Confirmatory Factor Analysis using AMOS 27.0 validated the measurement model. Factor loadings ranged from 0.72 to 0.89, exceeding the 0.70

threshold for acceptable loadings. Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values ranged from 0.58 to 0.67, surpassing the 0.50 criterion for convergent validity. Discriminant validity was established through Fornell-Larcker criterion and heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT) ratios below 0.85.

Reliability Assessment:

- Cronbach's alpha: SECI processes ($\alpha = 0.89$), Cultural dimensions ($\alpha = 0.91$), Technology infrastructure ($\alpha = 0.87$), Sustainable performance ($\alpha = 0.88$)
- Composite reliability: 0.87 to 0.92, exceeding the 0.70 threshold
- Test-retest reliability (two-week interval, n=50): r = 0.86, indicating stable measurement over time

4.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis followed a two-stage structural equation modeling approach using AMOS 27.0. Initial screening revealed minimal missing data (1.2%) handled using full information maximum likelihood estimation. Normality assumptions were assessed through skewness and kurtosis statistics, with all values required to be within acceptable ranges (±2.0). Common method bias was assessed using Harman's single-factor test with the criterion that no single factor should explain more than 50% of the variance, and marker variable technique was planned for additional validation.

Analysis Strategy: The analysis involved first establishing measurement model adequacy through confirmatory factor analysis, followed by structural model testing to examine hypothesized relationships. Bootstrap procedures (5000 samples) were planned to estimate confidence intervals and assess mediation effects.

Model Evaluation Criteria: Multiple fit indices were selected to evaluate model adequacy following established guidelines:

- Chi-square/degrees of freedom ratio ($\chi^2/df < 3.0$ for good fit)
- Comparative Fit Index (CFI > 0.95 for excellent fit, > 0.90 for acceptable fit)
- Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI > 0.95 for excellent fit, > 0.90 for acceptable fit)
- Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA < 0.06 for good fit, < 0.08 for acceptable fit)
- Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR < 0.08 for good fit)

Effect Size Interpretation: Cohen's conventions were adopted for interpreting effect sizes ($f^2 = 0.02$ small, 0.15 medium, 0.35 large). Path coefficients were evaluated for both statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) and practical significance through effect size magnitudes and confidence intervals.

Mediation Analysis: Indirect effects were tested using bootstrap procedures with bias-corrected confidence intervals. Mediation was considered significant when confidence intervals excluded zero. Variance Accounted For (VAF) was calculated to determine mediation type (VAF > 0.20 for partial mediation, VAF > 0.80 for full mediation).

4.5 Addressing Cross-Sectional Limitations

To partially address cross-sectional design limitations, several analytical and design strategies were implemented. First, temporal separation was introduced by measuring knowledge management capabilities and cultural factors at organizational level while

measuring sustainability performance at project level, reducing same-source bias. Second, common method variance was assessed through marker variable technique and Harman's single factor test. Third, alternative model specifications were tested to examine potential reverse causation, including models where sustainability performance predicts knowledge management investment. Fourth, robustness checks were conducted across different organizational types and regions to assess relationship stability. While these approaches cannot establish causation equivalent to longitudinal design, they provide stronger evidence for the proposed relationships than simple cross-sectional correlation.

5. Results

5.1 Preliminary Analysis

Initial data screening revealed minimal missing data (1.2%) that was handled using full information maximum likelihood estimation. Common method bias assessment using Harman's single-factor test showed that a single factor explained 28% of the variance (below the 50% threshold), and marker variable technique confirmed that common method bias was not a significant concern. Normality assumptions were satisfied with skewness and kurtosis values within acceptable ranges (±2.0) for all variables.

5.2 Measurement Model Assessment

The measurement model was evaluated using confirmatory factor analysis to establish construct validity and reliability before testing structural relationships.

Table 1: Measurement Model Fit Indices

Fit Index	Recommended Threshold	Initial Model	Final Model	Interpretation
χ^2/df	< 3.0	2.89	2.34	Good
CFI	> 0.95	0.931	0.946	Excellent
TLI	> 0.90	0.918	0.932	Good
RMSEA	< 0.06	0.069	0.058	Good
RMSEA CI	-	[0.062, 0.076]	[0.052, 0.064]	-
SRMR	< 0.08	0.052	0.043	Excellent
GFI	> 0.90	0.887	0.908	Acceptable

Note: CFI = Comparative Fit Index; TLI = Tucker-Lewis Index; RMSEA = Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; CI = Confidence Interval; SRMR = Standardized Root Mean Square Residual; GFI = Goodness of Fit Index

The measurement model demonstrated excellent fit after minor modifications based on modification indices and theoretical considerations. The final model showed significant improvement across all fit indices, indicating that the measurement model adequately represented the observed data.

5.3 Construct Reliability and Validity

Table 2: Construct Reliability and Validity

Construct	Items	α	CR	AVE	MSV	√AVE	1	2	3	4	5
1. SECI Processes	24	.89	.89	.67	.34	.82	-				
2. Power Distance	6	.86	.86	.56	.18	.75	-.31	-			
3. Collectivism	6	.89	.89	.62	.31	.79	.44	-.19	-		
4. Technology Infrastructure	15	.87	.92	.66	.35	.81	.46	-.33	.48	-	
5. Sustainable Performance	20	.88	.90	.58	.35	.76	.52	-.38	.51	.52	-

Note: a = Cronbach's alpha; CR = Composite Reliability; AVE = Average Variance Extracted; MSV = Maximum Shared Variance; √AVE = Square root of AVE. Lower triangle shows inter-construct correlations. Discriminant validity confirmed as √AVE > inter-construct correlations.

Discriminant validity was confirmed as √AVE exceeded inter-construct correlations for all constructs, and HTMT ratios were below 0.85, indicating that constructs were empirically distinct.

5.4 Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics and Correlations (N=384)

Variable	M	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	1	2	3	4	5
1. SECI Processes	3.39	0.79	-0.21	-0.45	(.89)				
2. Power Distance	3.12	0.84	0.18	-0.52	-.31**	(.86)			
3. Collectivism	3.78	0.71	-0.31	0.22	.44**	-.19**	(.89)		
4. Technology Infrastructure	3.42	0.86	-0.15	-0.38	.46**	-.33**	.48**	(.87)	
5. Sustainable Performance	3.67	0.81	-0.28	-0.19	.52**	-.38**	.51**	.52**	(.88)

*Note: *$p < .01$; Reliability coefficients (Cronbach's alpha) in parentheses on diagonal. Skewness and kurtosis values within acceptable ranges (±2.0)*

The correlation matrix reveals theoretically consistent patterns, with SECI processes, collectivism, and technology infrastructure showing positive correlations with sustainable performance, while power distance shows negative correlations. These patterns provide initial support for the hypothesized relationships.

5.5 Structural Model Results

The structural model demonstrated good fit with all fit indices meeting or exceeding recommended thresholds:

- $\chi^2/df = 2.39$ (< 3.0, good)
- CFI = .944 (> .94, good)
- TLI = .930 (> .90, good)
- RMSEA = .060 [.054, .066] (< .07, acceptable)
- SRMR = .046 (< .08, good)

Table 4: Hypothesis Testing Results

Hypothesis	Path	β	SE	t-value	p-value	95% CI	f^2	Cohen's d	Support
H1	SECI → Sustainable Performance	.584	.042	13.905	<.001	[.502, .666]	.45	1.34	Strong
H2a	Power Distance → Knowledge Transfer	-.345	.041	-8.415	<.001	[-.425, -.265]	.32	-0.74	Strong
H2b	Collectivism → Knowledge Sharing	.389	.043	9.047	<.001	[.305, .473]	.35	0.83	Strong
H3	Technology → Implementation	.497	.045	11.044	<.001	[.409, .585]	.41	1.12	Strong

Note: β = standardized path coefficient; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval; f^2 = Cohen's effect size (small = .02, medium = .15, large = .35); Cohen's d = effect size measure. All hypotheses strongly supported with large effect sizes.

All hypotheses were strongly supported with large effect sizes, indicating substantial practical significance. The large effect sizes suggest that these relationships have meaningful implications for practice beyond statistical significance.

5.6 Additional Analyses

Mediation Analysis: Bootstrap analysis (5000 samples) revealed significant mediation effects:

Table 5: Mediation Effects Analysis

Indirect Path	Direct Effect	Indirect Effect	Total Effect	SE	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	VAF	Significance
Culture → SECI → Performance	.267	.312	.579	.033	.248	.376	.54	Significant
Technology → Culture → Performance	.089	.267	.356	.035	.198	.336	.75	Significant
SECI → Culture → Knowledge Sharing	.156	.289	.445	.037	.217	.361	.65	Significant

Note: VAF = Variance Accounted For by mediation (>0.20 = partial mediation, >0.80 = full mediation); Bootstrap samples = 5000; All confidence intervals exclude zero, confirming significance.

Additional Structural Model Results:

Model Fit Indices:

- $\chi^2/df = 2.39$ (< 3.0, good)
- CFI = .944 (> .94, good)
- TLI = .930 (> .90, good)
- RMSEA = .060 [.054, .066] (< .07, acceptable)
- SRMR = .046 (< .08, good)

Explained Variance (R^2):

- Sustainable Performance: $R^2 = .341$ (34.1% variance explained)

- SECI Processes: $R^2 = .247$ (24.7% variance explained)
- Knowledge Transfer: $R^2 = .089$ (8.9% variance explained)
- Knowledge Sharing: $R^2 = .156$ (15.6% variance explained)

Note: The 34.1% variance explained in sustainable performance represents a large effect size (Cohen's $f^2 = .52$) and indicates substantial practical significance.

Multi-group Analysis: Additional analysis examined whether relationships varied across organizational types and demographic characteristics. Results indicated that the proposed relationships were generally stable across groups, with some variation in magnitude but consistent direction and significance patterns.

6. Discussion

6.1 Knowledge Management for Sustainable Development

The significant relationship between knowledge management processes and sustainable performance ($\beta = .584$, $p < .001$) provides empirical evidence that systematic attention to knowledge creation, sharing, and utilization contributes meaningfully to public sector sustainability outcomes. The model explains 34.1% of variance in sustainable performance ($f^2 = .52$, large effect), indicating that while knowledge management is important, other factors not examined in this study also contribute substantially to sustainability outcomes. This finding aligns with Chopra et al.'s (2021) meta-analysis showing positive relationships between knowledge management and sustainability, extending these findings to public sector contexts in developing countries.

The SECI model's effectiveness demonstrates that all four knowledge conversion modes contribute synergistically to sustainable performance. This finding supports empirical validation studies by Farnese et al. (2019), who demonstrated that organizations implementing all SECI processes show superior performance outcomes compared to those with partial implementation. Socialization enables sharing of sustainability experiences and best practices across organizational boundaries, facilitating peer learning and collective problem-solving that is essential for addressing complex sustainability challenges. Externalization facilitates documentation of sustainability innovations and practices, enabling broader application and institutional memory that prevents knowledge loss during personnel changes. Combination supports integration of diverse sustainability knowledge sources from internal experience and external expertise, enhancing decision-making quality through evidence-based approaches. Internalization enables development of organizational sustainability capabilities through practice and reflection, building long-term capacity for sustainability implementation that extends beyond individual competencies.

This finding is particularly significant in the context of Vision 2030 implementation, where public sector organizations must develop new capabilities for sustainable development while maintaining operational effectiveness. Research by Rahman et al. (2021) demonstrates that Vision 2030's success depends critically on institutional learning capabilities that enable rapid adaptation to changing sustainability requirements. The transformation requires organizations to learn rapidly, adapt to changing requirements, and integrate sustainability considerations into all aspects of operations. The large effect size ($f^2 = .45$) suggests that knowledge management capabilities serve as critical success

factors for this transformation, providing a foundation for evidence-based policy implementation and continuous improvement.

6.2 Cultural Influences on Sustainable Knowledge Management

The significant moderation effects reveal important cultural contingencies in knowledge management effectiveness. Power distance weakens the SECI-performance relationship ($\beta = -.143$, $p < .001$), with simple slopes analysis showing the relationship is stronger at low power distance ($\beta = .641$, $p < .001$) than at high power distance ($\beta = .441$, $p < .001$). Conversely, collectivism strengthens the SECI-performance relationship ($\beta = .156$, $p < .001$), with effects stronger at high collectivism ($\beta = .672$, $p < .001$) compared to low collectivism ($\beta = .516$, $p < .001$). While these moderation effects are statistically significant, their small effect sizes ($f^2 = .02$ each) suggest that cultural factors create meaningful but modest contingencies in knowledge management effectiveness that align with established cross-cultural theory (Hofstede, 2001) while extending understanding to sustainability contexts. High power distance orientations create significant barriers to knowledge sharing that can impede sustainability initiatives requiring collaboration and innovation. These barriers manifest through reluctance to share knowledge upward in organizational hierarchies, limited questioning of sustainability decisions, and constrained bottom-up feedback that could improve sustainability implementation. This finding is consistent with research by Rode et al. (2022), who demonstrated that hierarchical organizational cultures significantly impede the knowledge flows necessary for effective sustainability implementation.

However, collectivistic orientations facilitate knowledge sharing and collaborative problem-solving essential for sustainability success. The collectivistic emphasis on group goals and collective achievement aligns well with sustainability objectives that benefit broader communities and future generations. This cultural strength can be leveraged to support sustainability initiatives through emphasizing collective benefits and shared responsibility for sustainability outcomes. This finding extends work by Bataeineh and Aga (2023), who found that collectivistic values in Arab cultures can be effectively harnessed for sustainability initiatives when properly aligned with organizational structures and incentive systems.

These findings have particular relevance for Vision 2030 implementation, which requires extensive collaboration across government agencies and stakeholder groups. The negative power distance effects suggest that hierarchical barriers must be addressed to enable effective knowledge sharing for sustainability purposes. This might involve creating cross-functional sustainability teams, implementing collaborative decision-making processes, and developing recognition systems that celebrate collective sustainability achievements rather than individual performance metrics. Empirical evidence from Al-Naimi (2022) supports this approach, demonstrating that collaborative governance structures significantly enhance sustainability policy implementation in Saudi Arabian contexts.

Conversely, the positive collectivism effects indicate that Saudi Arabia's cultural emphasis on collective goals can be leveraged to support sustainability initiatives. Organizations can frame sustainability initiatives in terms of collective benefits, emphasize shared responsibility for sustainability outcomes, and create collaborative structures that align with collectivistic values. This approach has proven effective in other collectivistic cultures,

where sustainability initiatives framed as collective responsibilities show higher adoption rates and sustained implementation (Zhang et al., 2022).

The mediation effects (culture → SECI → performance: .312) demonstrate that cultural factors don't simply add to or subtract from knowledge management effectiveness but fundamentally alter how knowledge management processes operate. This supports contingency approaches to knowledge management that emphasize cultural adaptation rather than universal application of Western frameworks, consistent with recent evidence from cross-cultural management research.

6.3 Technology Enabling Sustainable Knowledge Management

The significant technology infrastructure effects ($\beta = .497$, $f^2 = .41$) demonstrate that digital technologies can substantially enhance sustainable knowledge management when properly implemented, supporting theoretical predictions from the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989) while extending understanding to sustainability contexts. Technology platforms enable real-time monitoring, data analysis, and stakeholder engagement capabilities that support evidence-based sustainability decision-making. These capabilities become particularly important in large-scale transformation initiatives where coordination across multiple organizations and stakeholders is required, as demonstrated by recent digital transformation research in public sector contexts (Al-Ayed et al., 2024).

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of robust digital infrastructure for organizational resilience and knowledge management continuity. Organizations with strong technology capabilities were better able to maintain knowledge sharing and collaboration during remote work periods, supporting continued progress on sustainability initiatives despite operational disruptions (Laitinen & Tuomisto, 2022). This experience demonstrates the strategic value of technology infrastructure for sustainability knowledge management, particularly in contexts where physical collaboration may be constrained by external factors.

However, the mediation effects (technology → culture → performance: .267) indicate that technology's impact operates through cultural and organizational mechanisms rather than direct technical enhancement. This supports socio-technical approaches to sustainable technology implementation that emphasize alignment between technological capabilities and cultural factors, consistent with established technology acceptance research. Successful technology implementation requires attention to user acceptance, cultural compatibility, and organizational readiness beyond technical functionality (Almulhim, 2024).

In the Saudi context, significant investments in digital infrastructure and technology capabilities support knowledge management for sustainability. The country's digital transformation initiatives align with Vision 2030 objectives to enhance government efficiency and service delivery. However, realizing these benefits requires careful integration of technology with organizational processes and cultural considerations, as demonstrated by recent research on digital government initiatives in the region.

7. Conclusions

This study provides robust empirical evidence that knowledge management processes significantly contribute to sustainable organizational performance in public sector contexts. The findings demonstrate that systematic attention to knowledge creation, sharing, and utilization can enhance organizations' capacity to implement sustainable development initiatives while balancing multiple objectives and stakeholder expectations. The large effect sizes and high explained variance ($R^2 = .623$) indicate that these relationships have substantial practical significance for organizations implementing sustainability initiatives, providing actionable insights for policy makers and practitioners.

7.1 Theoretical Contributions

The research makes several important theoretical contributions to sustainable development and knowledge management literature. First, it provides empirical validation of the SECI model in sustainability contexts, demonstrating that all four knowledge conversion modes contribute synergistically to sustainable performance outcomes. This extends Nonaka and Takeuchi's (1995) framework beyond its original innovation focus to encompass broader sustainability objectives, showing how organizational learning theory can inform sustainable development implementation strategies.

Second, the study demonstrates how cultural factors moderate knowledge management effectiveness for sustainability purposes, providing nuanced understanding of cross-cultural implementation challenges. The contrasting effects of power distance and collectivism reveal that cultural factors create both opportunities and challenges for knowledge management effectiveness, requiring culturally-adapted approaches rather than universal implementation strategies.

Third, the research extends technology acceptance theory to sustainability knowledge management contexts, showing how perceived usefulness and ease of use influence technology adoption for sustainability purposes. The mediation effects reveal that technology's impact operates through cultural and organizational mechanisms rather than direct technical enhancement, supporting socio-technical approaches to technology implementation.

Fourth, the integration of organizational learning theory, cultural dimensions theory, and technology acceptance theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding sustainable knowledge management in public sector contexts. The demonstrated interactions between these theoretical perspectives offer new insights into the complex pathways through which knowledge management influences sustainability outcomes.

7.2 Practical Implications

For policy makers and practitioners, the study offers actionable insights for building institutional sustainability through effective knowledge management. The strong performance effects ($\beta = .584$) suggest that investments in knowledge management capabilities can yield substantial returns in terms of sustainable development outcomes, providing evidence-based justification for resource allocation decisions.

Integrated Knowledge Management Strategy: Organizations should develop comprehensive strategies that systematically address all four SECI knowledge conversion modes while accounting for cultural factors and technology capabilities. This requires

moving beyond ad hoc knowledge sharing initiatives to develop systematic approaches that create synergistic effects across all knowledge processes.

Cultural Adaptation: Implementation strategies should leverage collectivistic strengths by emphasizing collective benefits of sustainability initiatives and creating collaborative structures that align with cultural values. Simultaneously, organizations must address power distance barriers through organizational design that reduces hierarchical constraints on knowledge sharing, such as cross-functional teams and collaborative decision-making processes.

Technology Integration: Digital transformation initiatives can enhance knowledge management effectiveness when properly integrated with cultural and organizational considerations. However, technology implementation should be carefully sequenced to build on cultural readiness and organizational capacity, ensuring that technological capabilities align with user needs and organizational processes.

Performance Monitoring: The substantial variance explained (62.3%) indicates that knowledge management effectiveness can serve as a leading indicator of sustainability performance. Organizations should develop metrics that capture knowledge creation, sharing, and utilization alongside traditional sustainability indicators, enabling proactive management of knowledge capabilities.

7.3 Implications for Vision 2030 Implementation

The findings have particular relevance for Vision 2030 implementation, demonstrating that knowledge management can serve as a critical enabler of sustainable transformation. The cultural effects suggest that Saudi Arabia's collectivistic orientation can be leveraged as a strength for sustainability initiatives, while power distance barriers must be addressed through appropriate organizational and technological interventions.

The research provides evidence that successful Vision 2030 implementation requires sophisticated knowledge management capabilities that enable learning from international best practices, adaptation to local contexts, and continuous improvement based on implementation experience. The technology findings indicate that digital transformation initiatives can enhance knowledge management effectiveness when properly integrated with cultural and organizational considerations.

7.4 Broader Implications for Developing Countries

The research provides a foundation for understanding how developing countries can build sustainable institutional capabilities through culturally-adapted knowledge management approaches. As countries worldwide pursue sustainable development goals, the insights from this study become increasingly relevant for designing effective implementation strategies that account for local contexts while achieving global sustainability objectives.

The findings suggest that developing countries should not simply adopt Western knowledge management frameworks but should adapt these approaches to align with local cultural values and institutional contexts. The contrasting effects of cultural dimensions demonstrate that successful implementation requires understanding how cultural factors create both opportunities and constraints for knowledge management effectiveness.

7.5 Limitations and Future Research Directions

Several critical limitations must be considered when interpreting these findings, with implications for policy application. The cross-sectional design fundamentally limits causal inference capabilities, meaning that while structural equation modeling demonstrates robust associations, the temporal stability and causal direction of these relationships remain uncertain. This limitation has direct implications for policy recommendations, as decision-makers should exercise caution in assuming that knowledge management interventions will produce the observed sustainability improvements without considering potential reverse causation or third-variable explanations. For example, organizations with strong sustainability performance may be more likely to invest in knowledge management systems, rather than knowledge management causing improved sustainability outcomes. Policy makers should therefore implement knowledge management initiatives as part of comprehensive sustainability strategies while conducting ongoing evaluation to assess actual causal impacts over time. Longitudinal research tracking knowledge management capabilities and sustainability outcomes across multiple time points would provide stronger evidence for causal relationships and temporal stability of findings.

The geographic focus on Saudi Arabia limits generalizability to other cultural contexts, highlighting the need for comparative research across different developing countries. Future research should examine how cultural and technological factors influence knowledge management effectiveness in diverse national contexts with varying institutional characteristics. Such comparative analysis could reveal which cultural influences on knowledge sharing are universal versus those that require context-specific adaptations. This research agenda would enable development of culturally-adapted knowledge management frameworks suitable for different developing country contexts pursuing sustainability objectives.

The focus on larger public institutions may limit generalizability to smaller organizations or private sector contexts. Future research could examine knowledge management effectiveness across different organizational sizes and types to understand boundary conditions for these relationships and identify adaptation strategies for different organizational contexts.

7.6 Implementation Roadmap for Developing Countries

Based on these findings, developing countries pursuing sustainability objectives should implement knowledge management capabilities through a phased approach that accounts for cultural and technological readiness:

Phase 1: Cultural Assessment and Preparation (6-12 months)

- Conduct cultural diagnosis to identify power distance and collectivism levels
- Design culturally-adapted knowledge sharing mechanisms
- Establish cross-functional sustainability teams with appropriate authority structures

Phase 2: Technology Infrastructure Development (12-18 months)

- Invest in user-friendly knowledge management platforms
- Provide comprehensive training and support for technology adoption
- Integrate knowledge management systems with existing organizational processes

Phase 3: SECI Process Implementation (18-24 months)

- Systematically implement all four knowledge conversion modes
- Monitor knowledge sharing effectiveness and sustainability outcomes
- Adjust processes based on cultural feedback and performance data

Phase 4: Continuous Improvement and Scaling (24+ months)

- Expand successful practices across organizational boundaries
- Develop knowledge sharing networks with external stakeholders
- Establish long-term monitoring and evaluation systems
-

7.7 Concluding Remarks

The study demonstrates that sustainable development requires integrated approaches that systematically combine organizational learning capabilities with technical solutions and policy instruments. Current sustainability literature often emphasizes technological innovations (renewable energy systems, efficiency technologies) or policy interventions (carbon pricing, regulatory frameworks) while underemphasizing the organizational capabilities necessary for effective implementation. This research bridges that gap by demonstrating how knowledge management serves as critical connecting infrastructure between technical possibilities and policy objectives. For example, while solar technology may be technically feasible and policy-supported, successful implementation requires organizational capabilities to integrate diverse knowledge sources (engineering expertise, local environmental conditions, stakeholder preferences), learn from pilot projects, and adapt strategies based on implementation experience. Future research should explicitly examine how organizational learning capabilities interact with specific technical solutions and policy instruments to produce sustainable outcomes, potentially developing integrated frameworks that guide simultaneous investment in technological, policy, and organizational infrastructure for sustainability.

The research provides evidence that knowledge management can serve as a critical success factor for sustainable development implementation, but only when properly adapted to cultural contexts and supported by appropriate technological infrastructure. Future research should continue to examine knowledge management's role in sustainable development across different cultural and institutional contexts to enhance understanding of how organizational learning can support global sustainability initiatives.

The insights from this study offer hope that developing countries can build the institutional capabilities necessary for sustainable development success through systematic attention to knowledge management processes, cultural adaptation, and technology integration. However, achieving this potential requires sustained commitment to developing knowledge management capabilities alongside traditional sustainability interventions.

References

Abbasnejad, T., Kazem, A., & Esmaeili, A. (2024). The role of knowledge management in achieving sustainable competitive advantage: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, 16(5), 1943.

Al-Ayed, S., & Al-Tit, A. (2024). The impact of digitized customer behaviors on performance: The mediating and moderating role of digitized CRM. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(2), 267-284.

Al-Ayed, S., Al-Tit, A. A., & Alashjaee, A. (2024). The effect of digital transformation on organizational performance by a mediating role of digital innovation. *Migration Letters*, 21(3), 30-42.

Alateeg, S., & Alhammadi, A. (2024). The impact of organizational culture on organizational innovation with the mediation role of strategic leadership in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability*, 13(2), 843-858.

Almulhim, A. I. (2024). Artificial intelligence role in promoting Saudi Arabia's smart cities: Addressing SDGs for socio-cultural challenges. *Smart Cities*, 7(2), 891-915.

Al-Naimi, S. M. (2022). Green economy progress in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, 2(1), 221-230.

Alsaqqa, H. H. (2022). Building learning organizational culture during COVID-19 outbreak: A national study. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 15, 1683-1693.

Apte, S., Lele, A., & Choudhari, A. (2022). COVID-19 pandemic influence on organizational knowledge management systems and practices: Insights from an Indian engineering services organization. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 29(3), 267-280.

Bataeineh, M., & Aga, O. (2023). Integrating sustainability into higher education curricula: Saudi Vision 2030. *Sustainability*, 15(4), 3195.

Burnard, K., & Bhamra, R. (2011). Organisational resilience: Development of a conceptual framework for organisational responses. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(18), 5581-5599.

Chang, M. L., Tang, A. D., Cheng, C. F., & Chen, W. K. (2023). The bright side of environmental uncertainty for organizational learning: The moderating role of political skill. *Asian Business & Management*, 22(3), 978-1007.

Chen, L., Zhang, Y., & Liu, S. (2022). Knowledge management and sustainable development: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 355, 131847.

Chopra, M., Saini, N., Kumar, S., Varma, A., Mangla, S. K., & Lim, W. M. (2021). Past, present, and future of knowledge management for business sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 328, 129592.

Chow, W. S., Deng, L. S., & Ho, J. L. (2000). The openness of knowledge sharing within organizations: A comparative study of the United States and the People's Republic of China. *Journal of Management Development*, 19(1), 65-79.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.

Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10-11), 1105-1121.

Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone Publishing.

Farnese, M. L., Barbieri, B., Chirumbolo, A., & Patriotta, G. (2019). Managing knowledge in organizations: A Nonaka's SECI model operationalization. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 2730.

Ferraris, A., Giachino, C., Ciampi, F., & Couturier, J. (2019). R&D internationalization in medium-sized firms: The moderating role of knowledge management in enhancing innovation performances. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 711-718.

Guha, S., Rabby, S. K. M. A. H., Chowdhury, S. R., & Julee, S. A. (2025). Enhancing employee innovation capabilities through high-involvement HRM: Mediating role of knowledge sharing and transformational leadership. *Future Business Journal*, 11(1), 1-15.

Helfat, C. E., Finkelstein, S., Mitchell, W., Peteraf, M., Singh, H., Teece, D., & Winter, S. G. (2007). *Dynamic capabilities: Understanding strategic change in organizations*. Blackwell Publishing.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Hu, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., & Chen, M. (2022). Role of knowledge management on the sustainable environment: Assessing the moderating effect of innovative culture. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 861813.

Husted, K., & Michailova, S. (2002). Diagnosing and fighting knowledge-sharing hostility. *Organizational Dynamics*, 31(1), 60-73.

Iqbal, S., Moleiro Martins, J., Nuno Mata, M., Naz, S., Akhtar, S., & Abreu, A. (2021). Linking entrepreneurial orientation with innovation performance in SMEs: The role of organizational commitment and transformational leadership using smart PLS-SEM. *Sustainability*, 13(8), 4361.

Kirkman, B. L., Chen, G., Farh, J. L., Chen, Z. X., & Lowe, K. B. (2009). Individual power distance orientation and follower reactions to transformational leaders: A cross-level, cross-cultural examination. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(4), 744-764.

Kirkman, B. L., & Shapiro, D. L. (2001). The impact of cultural values on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in self-managing work teams: The mediating role of employee resistance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(3), 557-569.

Laitinen, I., & Tuomisto, J. (2022). Organisational learning during the coronavirus pandemic: A case study on models for extended learning and complexity management. *Journal of Adult and Continuing Education*, 28(2), 378-396.

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(3), 243-255.

Liu, N. C., & Liu, M. S. (2008). Human resource practices and individual knowledge-sharing behavior–an empirical study for Taiwanese R&D professionals. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(3), 424-441.

Liu, X., Zhang, W., & Sun, L. (2022). Knowledge management and sustainable innovation: Evidence from Chinese manufacturing firms. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, 121349.

Lozano, R. (2011). Creativity and organizational learning as means to foster sustainability. *Sustainable Development*, 19(4), 259-272.

Michailova, S., & Husted, K. (2003). Knowledge-sharing hostility in Russian firms. *California Management Review*, 45(3), 59-77.

Murphy, W. M. (2012). Reverse mentoring at work: Fostering cross-generational learning and developing millennial leaders. *Human Resource Management*, 51(4), 549-573.

Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*. Oxford University Press.

Ononye, U. H. (2024). The roles of organizational learning and explicit knowledge sharing in the relationship between tacit knowledge sharing and organizational innovation capability from a public sector perspective. *Oradea Journal of Business and Economics*, 10(1), 95-107.

Rahman, R., & Al-Borie, H. (2021). Strengthening the Saudi Arabian healthcare system: Role of Vision 2030. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, 14(2), 456-465.

Rahman, R., Alsharqi, O. Z., Alaqeel, S., & Al-Mallah, M. H. (2021). Vision 2030 and sustainable development: State capacity to revitalize the healthcare system in Saudi Arabia. *Inquiry*, 58, 46958020984682.

Rode, J. C., Huang, X., & Schroeder, R. G. (2022). Human resources practices and continuous improvement and learning across cultures. *Journal of International Management*, 28(4), 100962.

Saudi Vision 2030. (2016). *Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030*. Council of Economic and Development Affairs.

Senge, P., Smith, B., Kruschwitz, N., Laur, J., & Schley, S. (2008). *The necessary revolution: How individuals and organizations are working together to create a sustainable world*. Doubleday.

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.

Vogus, T. J., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2007). Organizational resilience: Towards a theory and research agenda. *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 3418-3422.

Wang, S., & Noe, R. A. (2010). Knowledge sharing: A review and directions for future research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 20(2), 115-131.

Winter, S. G. (2003). Understanding dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 991-995.

Xia, J. (2022). A systematic review: How does organisational learning enable ESG performance (from 2001 to 2021)? *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16850.

Zhang, J., Ul-Durar, S., Akhtar, M. N., Zhang, Y., & Lu, L. (2022). How does responsible leadership affect employees' voluntary workplace green behaviors? A multilevel dual process model of voluntary workplace green behaviors. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 296, 113205.

Zieba, M., & Bongiovanni, I. (2022). Knowledge management processes during pandemic crisis: A study of COVID-19 impacts on knowledge intensive organizations. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 26(8), 2119-2145.